arXiv:1611.05804v1 [math.CA] 17 Nov 2016

Existence of quasicrystals and universal stable sampling and interpolation in LCA groups

E. Agora J. Antezana C. Cabrelli B. Matei

Abstract

We characterize all the locally compact abelian (LCA) groups that contain quasicrystals (a class of model sets). Moreover, we describe all possible quasicrystals in the group constructing an appropriate lattice associated with the cut and project scheme that produces it.

On the other hand, if an LCA group G admits a simple quasicrystal, we prove that recent results of Meyer and Matei for the case of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be extended to G. More precisely, we prove that simple quasicrystals are universal sets of stable sampling and universal sets of stable interpolation in generalized Paley-Wiener spaces.

1 Introduction

Quasicrystals are non-periodic structures discovered by Shechtman in 1984, while studying materials whose X-ray diffractions spectra present such non-periodic behaviors (see [16], [31]). One of the best mathematical models for quasicrystals are model sets introduced by Meyer in [22] many years before (see [2], [17] and [25] for a survey on model sets and quasicrystals).

In fact, Meyer proposed a method called cut and project to construct model sets. Given a locally compact group G and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a **cut and project scheme** (CP-scheme) for G is a triple $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ where $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \times G$ is a lattice such that the canonical projections, p_1 and p_2 satisfy: $p_1 : H \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is one to one and $p_2 : H \to G$ has dense range. We will call a CP-scheme **complete** if, in addition, $p_1 : H \to \mathbb{R}^m$ has dense range and $p_2 : H \to G$ is one to one.

Given a complete CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ and a Riemann integrable set (i.e. the Lebesgue measure of its boundary is zero) with non-empty interior $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, the **model set** associated to this scheme and the set S, is defined by

$$\Lambda_S = \{p_2(h) : h \in H,\, p_1(h) \in S\}. \tag{1}$$

J. Antezana is partially supported by Grants: CONICET-PIP 152, UNLP-11X585. E. Agora and J. Antezana are partially supported by Grant MTM2016-75196-P. E. Agora and C. Cabrelli are partially supported by Grants: PICT 2014-1480 (ANPCyT), CONICET PIP 11220110101018, UBACyT 20020130100403BA and UBACyT 20020130100422BA.

Throughout this paper, following [20], these model sets will be called **quasicrystals**. When $m = 1$ and $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval the quasicrystal will be called **simple**.

Quasicrystals and Fourier analysis

In [20] Matei and Meyer discovered that quasicrystals play an important role in Fourier Analysis, more precisely in the theory of sampling and interpolation of band limited functions (see also [21]). Before describing their results, let us recall the basic definitions.

Let G be a locally compact abelian (LCA) group, and let K be a compact subset of $\widehat{G}$, the dual group of G. Recall that the **Paley-Wiener space** PW_K consists on all square integrable functions defined on G whose Fourier transform vanishes (almost everywhere) outside K. For this space, a set $\Lambda \subseteq G$ is a **stable sampling set** if there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that for any $f \in PW_K$,

$$A\|f\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \leq B\|f\|_2^2.$$

If Λ is a stable sampling set, then for any $f \in PW_K$, the values $\{f(\lambda)\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ contain enough information to recover completely f. On the other hand, a set Γ is an **stable interpolation set** for PW_K if for every $\{c_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$, the interpolation problem

$$f(\gamma) = c_\gamma,$$

has a solution $f \in PW_K$.

Intuitively, a sampling set needs to have enough information (in terms of number of points) to be able to recover the sampled function. This means that the more points we have, the more information we obtain. On the other hand, each point in an interpolation set imposes an extra restriction to find an interpolation function. Thus, the less points we have, the better it is. This intuition is formalized by means of the so called **Beurling-Landau densities**. If $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the **upper and lower Landau-Beurling densities** are defined by

$$\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda) = \lim_{\ell\to\infty} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap Q_\ell(x))}{\ell^d} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda) = \lim_{\ell\to\infty} \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap Q_\ell(x))}{\ell^d}$$

respectively. Here, $Q_\ell(x)$ denotes the cube centered at x, of side length ℓ. If $\mathcal{D}^+ = \mathcal{D}^-$, we say that the set has **uniform density** and in this case is simply denoted by $\mathcal{D}$. Landau proved the following necessary conditions in [18]:

(i) A sampling set Λ for PW_Ω satisfies $\mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda) \geq |\Omega|$;

(ii) An interpolation set Λ for PW_Ω satisfies $\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda) \leq |\Omega|$.

Later on, this result was extended to LCA groups by Gröchenig, Kutyniok and Seip [11]. In this case, the Lebesgue measure is replaced by the Haar measure of $\widehat{G}$ and, $\mathcal{D}^+$ and $\mathcal{D}^-$ denote the upper and lower Landau-Beurling densities generalized to the group setting [11]

(see Section 2.4 for precise definitions). Roughly speaking, the Landau-Beurling's densities compare the distribution of the points of Λ with that of a reference set, which for instance in the case of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Beurling showed in [5] the following kind of converse of Landau's result, if Ω is an interval of the real line.

Theorem 1. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval and $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ a uniformly discrete sequence (i.e. there exists $M > 0$ so that $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| > M$ for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ different). Then*

(i) If $\mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda) > |I|$ then Λ is a stable sampling set for PW_I;

(ii) If $\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda) < |I|$ then Λ is an stable interpolation set for PW_I.

Just by considering $\Omega = [0, 1/2 - \varepsilon) \cup [1, 3/2 - \varepsilon)$ for any small value of $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$, it is easy to see that a similar result is no longer true for more general sets. However, Olevskii and Ulanovskii found sets $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ with uniform density and the remarkable property of being stable sampling sets (resp. interpolating sets) for any PW_Ω, such that $|\Omega| < D(\Lambda)$ (resp. $|\Omega| > D(\Lambda)$ and Ω Riemann integrable) (see [27] and [26]). This achievement is surprising because no assumption on the structure of Ω is required. Such sets have been called **universal stable sampling set** (resp. **universal stable interpolation set**).

In [20] Matei and Meyer proved the following extension of Beurling theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $\Lambda_I \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a simple quasicrystal and let $K \subset \widehat{G}$ be a compact set.*

(i) If $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_I) > \mu_{\widehat{G}}(K)$ then Λ_I is a stable sampling set for PW_K;

(ii) If K is Riemann integrable and $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_I) < \mu_{\widehat{G}}(K)$ then Λ_I is an stable interpolation set for PW_K.

In particular, this proves that simple quasicrystals in $\mathbb{R}^d$ are universal sets of sampling (resp. interpolation). This gave a very simple way to construct such sets. Since then, quasicrystals played a key role in several recent advances in Fourier Analysis (see for instance [10] and [19]).

LCA group setting and main results

Due to the importance of quasicrystals in Fourier Analysis, a natural question is whether or not any LCA group has quasicrystals. In the case it has, the next natural question is whether or not they are universal sets of stable sampling and interpolation.

Let G be a given LCA group such that its dual $\widehat{G}$ is metrizable and compactly generated. These are the standard assumptions to study sampling and interpolation problems in LCA groups (see [11]). Then, by the structure theorems, G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^m \times \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is a countable discrete group (see for instance [7]). To avoid some pathological cases, we will assume that G is neither compact nor discrete.

With respect to the problem of the existence of quasicrystals, if G is isomorphic to the Lie group $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^m$ then it is not difficult to see that the answer is positive. However,

when the discrete part $\mathbb{D}$ appears, the problem becomes much more complicated. This complication comes from the torsion of $\mathbb{D}$ (see Subsection 3.1 for a detailed discussion on this). Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, our first main result is a complete characterization of those groups G such that there exists a complete CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$. In particular, this solves the problem of the existence of quasicrystals. More precisely we prove the following theorem, which is the main result of this work.

Theorem 3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $G = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{D}$. Then, there exists a complete CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ if and only if $\mathbb{D}$ does not have a copy of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{m+d+1}$ for any prime p.*

For the groups that do contain quasicrystals, we construct essentially all the quasicrystals in the group describing all possible lattices involved in the cut and project scheme that produce the quasicrystal.

Once we know which groups contains quasicrystals, we study the problem of universal sets of stable sampling and stable interpolation. Since the group G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{D}$, the idea is to find a universal set of stable sampling (resp. interpolation) in each connected component $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \{d\}$. However, the choice of these universal sets is a difficult problem. For instance, the simple idea of taking the same set in each component leads to sampling sets which are not universal (see the begining of Section 4 for more details). So, in order to get universal sets of stable sampling or stable interpolation we should consider a much more subtle combination of such sets in each component. To solve this problem we use quasicrystals. Our approach essentially follows the line of proof of [20] adapted to our setting. Firstly, we extend the following formula to our setting.

Theorem 4. *Let H be a lattice on $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$ such that $p_1|_H$ and $p_2|_H$ are one to one, and $p_1(H)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let φ in the Schwartz class of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and ψ in the Schwartz-Bruhat class of G. Therefore*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^m} \sum_{h\in H} \varphi\left(\frac{p_1(h)-a}{r}\right) \psi(p_2(h)) \;=\; \frac{1}{|H|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(x)\,dx \int_G \psi(g)\,dm_G$$

uniformly in $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

This result is interesting in its own because of its connection with Poisson measures and Poisson summation formulæ (see Subsection 4.1). Once this result is established, the next theorem follows using the same steps as in the case of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 5 (Duality)**.** *Let S be a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let K be a compact Riemann integrable set of $\widehat{G}$. Then*

(i) If M_K is an stable interpolation set for PW_S, then Λ_S is a stable sampling set for PW_K;

(ii) If M_K is a stable sampling set for $PW_{\tilde{S}}$, then $\Lambda_{\tilde{S}}$ is a set of stable interpolation for PW_K, where $\tilde{S}$ is a slight dilation of S.

Finally, as a consequence of this duality theorem, the classical Beurling theorem [5], and the extension to groups of Landau's theorem [11], we obtain a Beurling-type theorem for quasicrystals in LCA groups.

Theorem 6. *Let $\Lambda_I \subset G$ be a simple quasicrystal and let $K \subset \widehat{G}$ be a compact set.*

(i) If $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_I) > \mu_{\widehat{G}}(K)$ then Λ_I is a stable sampling set for PW_K.

(ii) If K is Riemann integrable and $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_I) < \mu_{\widehat{G}}(K)$ then Λ_I is an stable interpolation set for PW_K.

In particular, Λ_I is a universal set of stable sampling (resp. interpolation).

Paper guideline

Section 2 is devoted to the preliminaries. With a brief review on the LCA groups, the Schwartz-Bruhat space (a substitute to the Schwartz class for LCA groups) and Riemann integrable sets, we set the notation and recall some technical material adapted to our approach. We also introduce Landau-Beurling densities in LCA groups following [11]. As for the definitions of quasicrystals and CP-schemes on LCA groups we rely on [22].

In Section 3 we characterize all LCA groups containing complete CP-schemes and consequently, construct all possible quasicrystals. First, we deal with the easier case $G = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell$ which leads to Theorem 26 proved in Subsection 3.2. Then, we proceed to the proof of Theorem 3 and, since it is long and technical we split it in several parts, all given in Subsection 3.3.

Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the problem of universal sampling and interpolation. In Subsection 4.1, we prove Theorem 4 and discuss the relation of quasicrystals with Poisson measures, and in Subsection 4.2 we show Beurling type Theorem 6 for simple quasicrystals.

2 Preliminaries on LCA groups

Throughout this section we review basic facts on locally compact abelian (LCA) groups, setting in this way the notation we need for the following sections (for more details see [7], [12], [13], and [29]).

2.1 Basic definitions and notation

Let G denote a Hausdorff locally compact abelian group, and $\widehat{G}$ its dual group, that is:

$$\widehat{G} = \{\gamma : G \to \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } \gamma \text{ is a continuous character of } G\},$$

where a character is a function satisfying the following properties:

(i) $|\gamma(x)| = 1$, $\forall x \in G$;

(ii) $\gamma(x+y) = \gamma(x)\gamma(y)$, $\forall x, y \in G$.

Thus, the characters generalize the exponential functions $\gamma(x) = \gamma_t(x) = e^{2\pi i t x}$ in the case $G = (\mathbb{R}, +)$. Given H a closed subgroup of G, the annihilator of H is denoted by $H^\perp$, where

$$H^\perp := \{\gamma \in \widehat{G} : \gamma(h) = 1, \forall h \in H\}.$$

Definition 7. *Let G be a LCA group. A lattice H of G is a discrete subgroup such that G/H is compact.*

The annihilator $\Gamma = H^{\perp}$ is a lattice in $\widehat{G}$ called **dual lattice**. On the other hand, it can be proved that there exists a relatively compact Borel set of representatives of G/H. Any of these sets will be called **section** of G/H (see [8] and [14]).

On every LCA group G there exists a Haar measure; that is, a non-negative, Borel regular measure μ_G that is non-identically zero and translation-invariant, which means

$$\mu_G(E + x) = \mu_G(E),$$

for every element $x \in G$ and every Borel set $E \subset G$. This measure is unique up to a constant. Analogously to the Lebesgue spaces, we can define the $L^p(G) = L^p(G, \mu_G)$ spaces associated to the group G and the measure μ_G:

$$L^p(G) := \Big\{ f : G \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f \quad \text{is measurable and} \quad \int_G |f(x)|^p \, d\mu_G(x) < \infty \Big\}.$$

Theorem 8. *Let G be an LCA group and $\widehat{G}$ its dual. Then*

(i) The dual group $\widehat{G}$, with the operation $(\gamma + \gamma')(x) = \gamma(x)\gamma'(x)$ is an LCA group. The topology in $\widehat{G}$ is the one induced by the identification of the characters of the group with the characters of the algebra $L^1(G)$.

(ii) The dual group of $\widehat{G}$ is isomorphic (as topological groups) to G, that is, $\widehat{\widehat{G}} \approx G$, with the identification $g \in G \leftrightarrow e_g \in \widehat{\widehat{G}}$, where $e_g(\gamma) := \gamma(g)$.

(iii) G is discrete (resp. compact) if and only if $\widehat{G}$ is compact (resp. discrete).

As a consequence of (ii) of the previous theorem, we could use the notation (x, γ) for the complex number $\gamma(x)$, representing either the character γ applied to x or the character x applied to γ.

Taking $f \in L^1(G)$ we define the Fourier transform of f, as the function $\hat{f} : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(x)(x, -\gamma) \, d\mu_G(x), \quad \gamma \in \widehat{G}.$$

If the Haar measure of the dual group $\widehat{G}$ is normalized conveniently, we obtain the inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \hat{f}(\gamma)(x, \gamma) d\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma),$$

for a specific class of functions. If the Haar measures μ_G and $\mu_{\widehat{G}}$ are normalized in such a way, the Fourier transform on $L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ can be extended to a unitary operator from $L^2(G)$ onto $L^2(\widehat{G})$. Thus the Plancherel formula holds:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_G f(x)\overline{g(x)} d\mu_G(x) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \hat{f}(\gamma)\overline{\hat{g}(\gamma)} d\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma) = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle,$$

for $f, g \in L^2(G)$.

Definition 9. *Given a lattice H of G, we denote by $|H|$ the total mass of a section with respect to some normalized Haar measure of G.*

In what follows, we normalize the Haar measure so that the inversion formula holds. So, we get that $|H| = 1/|H^{\perp}|$.

2.2 The Schwartz-Bruhat space $\mathcal{S}(G)$

In [3], Bruhat extended the notion of C^{∞} function to a large class of locally compact groups, which includes the LCA groups. Inherent in this, there is an extension of the idea of the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(G)$ to any LCA group. The definition of $\mathcal{S}(G)$, as it was presented in [32], goes as follows. If G is a Lie group, and so $G \simeq \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times F$, with F a finite abelian group, then a function f is in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ if it lies in $C^{\infty}(G)$, and if $P(\partial)f$ remains bounded on G for every polynomial (in the $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ variables) differential operator $P(\partial)$. As usual, it can be proved that this is equivalent to requiring that $P(\partial)f \in L^2(G)$ for every polynomial differential operator $P(\partial)$. If G is any LCA group, then

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \varinjlim \mathcal{S}(H/K), \tag{2}$$

where the direct limit is over pairs (H, K) of subgroups of G such that H is open and compactly generated, K is compact, and H/K is a Lie group. Since H is compactly generated, H/K modulo its identity component is finitely generated; thus H/K has the form $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times F$. In (2), the set $\mathcal{S}(H/K)$ should be understood as those continuous functions with support contained in H, that are constants in the coset modulus K, and their projections to the quotient H/K belong to $\mathcal{S}(H/K)$.

An intrinsic characterization of $\mathcal{S}(G)$, without using direct limits, was proved by Osborne in [28]. In order to present this characterization we need the following definition.

Definition 10. *Define $\mathcal{A}(G)$ as the set of those $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ for which there exists a compact set C_f such that the following holds: for any positive integer n, there exists a constant $M_n > 0$ such that for each integer $k \geq 1$,*

$$\|f\chi_{G\setminus C_f^{(k)}}\|_{\infty} \leq M_n k^{-n},$$

where $C_f^{(1)} = C_f$ and $C_f^{(k)} = C_f^{(k-1)} + C_f$ for $k \geq 2$.

Remark 11. *Note that C_f may be enlarged at will. Hence, we can assume that it is a neighborhood of the identity of G.* ▲

In the following proposition we name some of the basic properties of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (see [28] for their proofs).

Proposition 12. *The class $\mathcal{A}(G)$ has the following properties:*

i) It is translation invariant;

ii) It is closed under pointwise multiplication;

iii) *It is a convolution algebra;*

iv) *lt is included in* $L^1(G)$.

Now we are ready to give the Osborne's characterization of the class $\mathcal{S}(G)$ (see [28]).

Theorem 13. *The Schwartz-Bruhat class can be characterized in the following way:*

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(G) : \ \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\widehat{G})\}.$$

As a direct consequence of Osborne's characterization of the class $\mathcal{S}(G)$ we get:

Corollary 14. *Let* G_1 *and* G_2 *two LCA groups,* $f \in \mathcal{S}(G_1)$, *and* $g \in \mathcal{S}(G_2)$. *Then, the function* $h : G_1 \times G_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ *defined by*

$$h(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1)g(x_2)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{S}(G_1 \times G_2)$.

Recall that a trigonometric polynomial in the group setting is a finite linear combination of characters. Some useful properties of the Schwartz-Bruhat class are the following.

Lemma 15. *Let* $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ *and let* p *be a trigonometric polynomial. Then* $f \cdot p \in \mathcal{S}(G)$.

Proof. Since $p \in L^\infty(G)$, clearly $f \cdot p \in \mathcal{A}(G)$. On the other hand, $\widehat{f \cdot p}$ is a linear combination of translations of $\widehat{f}$, which belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{G})$. Therefore, $\widehat{f \cdot p} \in \mathcal{A}(\widehat{G})$. ■

Proposition 16. *Let* H *be a lattice of* G *and let* Γ *be the dual lattice. Then, given any function* $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, *the Poisson's summation formula holds, which means that for every* $x \in G$

$$\sum_{h \in H} f(x+h) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{f}(\gamma) e_x(\gamma), \tag{3}$$

where both series in (3) *are absolutely convergent, and the constant* $|H|$ *is given by Definition* 9.

2.3 Riemann integrable sets

In this section we recall some known properties of Riemann integrable sets to the setting of LCA groups. Since we could not find some of them in the literature, we will give their proofs.

Definition 17. *A measurable set* $A \subseteq G$ *is called Riemann integrable if the (Haar) measure of its boundary is zero.*

Before going on, we point out that since the following technical results will be used for a compact set $\Omega \subseteq \widehat{G}$, we will present them in this context.

Lemma 18. *Let* C *be a compact subset of* $\widehat{G}$ *and let* U *be an open set of* $\widehat{G}$ *with compact closure and such that* $C \subseteq U$. *Then, there exists a function* $f \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$ *such that*

$$\chi_C \leq f \leq \chi_U.$$

Proof. Let V be a compact neighborhood of e in $\widehat{G}$ such that $C + V \subseteq U$. Take a compact subgroup K contained in V which satisfies that

$$\widehat{G}/K \simeq \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times F,$$

for some integers $d, m, \ell \geq 0$. Let $\pi : \widehat{G} \to \widehat{G}/K$ denote the canonical projection, and consider a compact neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of the identity in $\widehat{G}/K$ such that $W = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq V$. Choose a function $f_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G}/K)$ such that

$$\chi_{\pi(C)} \leq f_0 \leq \chi_{\pi(C+W)}.$$

Then, clearly the function $f = f_0 \circ \pi$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$ and it satisfies that

$$\chi_C \leq \chi_{C+K} \leq f \leq \chi_{C+W} \leq \chi_U,$$

because $\pi^{-1}(\pi(C)) = C + K$, and $C + W = \pi^{-1}(\pi(C + W))$ since W is saturated. ■

Note that if Ω is Riemann integrable, then $L^p(\Omega) = L^p(\mathrm{Int}(\Omega))$, where $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega)$ denotes the interior of Ω. Hence, as a consequence of Lemma 18 and the regularity of the Haar measure $\mu_{\widehat{G}}$, we obtain:

Corollary 19. *Let Ω be a relatively compact, Riemann integrable Borel subset of $\widehat{G}$. Then*

$$\{f \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G}) : supp(f) \subseteq \Omega\}$$

is dense in $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Another consequence of Lemma 18 is the following characterization of Riemann integrable sets, which is well known in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proposition 20. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \widehat{G}$ be a compact set. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *The set Ω is Riemann integrable;*
2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist positive functions g_ε, $h_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$ such that*

$$g_\varepsilon \leq \chi_\Omega \leq h_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\widehat{G}} (h_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon)(\gamma)\, d\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof.

1 ⇒ 2) Let $U \subseteq \widehat{G}$ be an open set with compact closure such that $\Omega \subseteq U$ and $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(U \setminus \Omega) \leq \varepsilon/2$. By Lemma 18, there exists a function $h_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$ such that

$$\chi_\Omega \leq h_\varepsilon \leq \chi_U.$$

On the other hand, if $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\Omega) = 0$ then taking $g_\varepsilon \equiv 0$ the implication is proved. If $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\Omega) \neq 0$, then $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\mathrm{Int}(\Omega)) \neq 0$. Let Ω_ε be a compact subset of $\mathrm{Int}(\Omega)$ such that $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon/2$, and let g_ε be a function in $\mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$ that satisfies $\chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \leq g_\varepsilon \leq \chi_{\mathrm{Int}(\Omega)}$. Then $g_\varepsilon \leq \chi_\Omega \leq h_\varepsilon$, and it holds that

$$\int_{\widehat{G}} (h_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon)(\gamma)\, d\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma) \leq \mu_{\widehat{G}}(U \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon) = \mu_{\widehat{G}}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon) + \mu_{\widehat{G}}(U \setminus \Omega) \leq \varepsilon.$$

$2 \Rightarrow 1)$ It is enough to note that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\partial\Omega) \leq \int_{\widehat{G}} (h_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon)(\gamma)\, d\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon.$$

■

Proposition 21. *Let Ω be a compact subset of $\widehat{G}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there exists a compact set $\Omega' \supseteq \Omega$ which is Riemann integrable and $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(\Omega' \setminus \Omega) \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq \widehat{G}$ be an open set containing Ω, and such that $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(U \setminus \Omega) < \varepsilon$. By Lemma 18, there is function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$ such that $\chi_\Omega \leq f \leq \chi_U$. For each $\alpha \in (0,1)$ consider the level set $C_\alpha = \{\gamma \in \widehat{G} : f(\gamma) = \alpha\}$. Since the sets C_α are pairwise disjoint, and all of them are contained in U, which has finite measure, at most a countable number of them can have positive measure. Take α_0 such that $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(C_{\alpha_0}) = 0$. Then, the set $\Omega' = \{\gamma \in \widehat{G} :\ f(\gamma) \geq \alpha_0\}$ satisfies the required properties. ■

2.4 Landau-Beurling densities on LCA groups

We start the section with the definition of Landau-Beurling densities in LCA groups introduced in [11]. In $\mathbb{R}^d$, these densities compare the concentration of the points of a given discrete set with that of the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$. In a topological group, this comparison is done with respect to some reference lattice by means of the following relation (see [11]). Recall that a subset Λ of G is called **uniformly discrete** if there exists an open set U such that the sets $\lambda + U$ (λ in Λ) are pairwise disjoints.

Definition 22. *Given two uniformly discrete sets Λ and Λ' of an LCA group G, and non-negative numbers α and α', we write $\alpha\Lambda \preccurlyeq \alpha'\Lambda'$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset K of G such that for every compact subset L we have*

$$(1-\varepsilon)\alpha\, \#(\Lambda \cap L) \leq \alpha'\, \#(\Lambda' \cap (K + L)).$$

Now, we have to fix a reference lattice in the group G. We have assumed that $\widehat{G}$ is compactly generated, thus G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^m \times \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is a countable discrete group. So, a natural reference lattice is $H_0 = \mathbb{Z}^d \times \{e\} \times \mathbb{D}$. Using this reference lattice, and the above transitive relation, we have all what we need to recall the definitions of upper and lower densities (see [11] for further details).

Definition 23. *Let Λ be a uniformly discrete subset of G. The lower uniform density of Λ is defined as*

$$\mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda) = \sup\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \alpha H_0 \preccurlyeq \Lambda\}.$$

On the other hand, its upper uniform density is

$$\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda) = \inf\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \Lambda \preccurlyeq \alpha H_0\}.$$

These densities always satisfy that $\mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda) \leq \mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda)$, and they are finite. Moreover, it can be shown that the infimum and the supremum are actually a minimum and a maximum. In the case that both densities coincide, we will simply write $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$. It should be also mentioned that in the case of $\mathbb{R}^d$, these densities coincide with the Landau-Beurling's densities when the reference lattice is $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Using these densities, Gröchenig, Kutyniok, and Seip obtained in [11] the following extension of the classical result of Landau to LCA-groups.

Theorem 24. *Suppose Λ is a uniform discrete subset of G. Let μ_G be normalized such that any fundamental domain of H_0 has measure one, and $\mu_{\widehat{G}}$ so that the inversion formula holds. Then*

S) If Λ is a stable sampling set for PW_Ω, then $\mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda) \geq \mu_{\widehat{G}}(\Omega)$;

I) If Λ is an stable interpolation set for PW_Ω, then $\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda) \leq \mu_{\widehat{G}}(\Omega)$.

2.5 Cut and project schemes and quasicrystals in LCA groups

Given a lattice H in $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$ such that canonical projections

$$p_1 : \mathbb{R}^m \times G \to \mathbb{R}^m \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 : \mathbb{R}^m \times G \to G$$

satisfy the following conditions:

C1) $p_1(H)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^m$;

C2) $p_2|_H$ is one to one.

We say that the triple $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ is a **cut and project scheme** (CP-scheme). These schemes were introduced by Meyer in [22]. If, in addition, the scheme also satisfies

C3) $p_1|_H$ is one to one;

it is called **aperiodic**. Finally, a **complete** CP-scheme is a scheme that satisfies C1, C2, C3 and

C4) $p_2(H)$ is dense in G.

Different from the Euclidean space, the existence of complete (even aperiodic) CP-schemes in an LCA group is not always guaranteed. The problem resides in the existence of such a lattice H and it is a non trivial issue. In fact, this will be the subject of the next section.

Assume for the moment that there is a complete CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$, and let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a Riemann integrable set with non-empty interior. Recall that, the quasicrystal associated to $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ and S, is given by

$$\Lambda_S = \{p_2(h) : h \in H,\, p_1(h) \in S\},$$

where $p_1 : H \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $p_2 : H \to G$ are the canonical projections.

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \times \widehat{G}$ be the dual lattice of H and consider the canonical projections

$$q_1 : \mathbb{R}^m \times \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{R}^m \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 : \mathbb{R}^m \times \widehat{G} \to \widehat{G}.$$

It can be seen that the projections $q_1|_\Gamma$ and $q_2|_\Gamma$ are injective and have dense range on $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\widehat{G}$ respectively (see Lemma 2, pg. 41, [22]).

Given a compact set $K \subset \widehat{G}$, we define the set

$$M_K := \{q_1(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma,\, q_2(\gamma) \in K\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{4}$$

Recall that a quasicrystal is called simple if $m = 1$ and $S = I$ is an interval. Note that if $I = [-\alpha, \alpha]$, then the set Λ_I is symmetric, that is $\Lambda_I = -\Lambda_I$.

Lemma 25. *Let S be a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^m$, and let K be a compact set of $\widehat{G}$. Then Λ_S as well as M_K are uniformly discrete.*

Proof. We will prove that Λ_S is uniformly discrete. The proof for M_K is essentially the same. Assume by contradiction that Λ_S is not uniformly discrete, and let d denote a translation invariant metric in G.

Let $n_1, m_1 \geq 1$ so that

$$d_1 = d(x_{n_1}, x_{m_1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{with} \quad x_{n_1}, x_{m_1} \in \Lambda_S.$$

Inductively, we look for $n_k, m_k \geq 0$ so that

$$d_k = d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq \frac{d_{k-1}}{2}, \quad \text{with} \quad x_{n_k}, x_{m_k} \in \Lambda_S.$$

Let $\mu_k \in H$ such that $p_2(\mu_k) = x_{n_k} - x_{m_k}$. Then, by the construction of (x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}), the elements μ_k have the following properties:

- $\mu_k \neq \mu_j$ if $j \neq k$;
- $p_1(\mu_k) \xrightarrow[k\to\infty]{} e \in G$;
- $p_2(\mu_k) \in S - S$.

Then, taking k_0 big enough, we have that for $k > k_0$ the elements μ_k belong to $(\, C \times (S - S)\,) \cap \Gamma$, where C is a compact neighborhood of the identity. This implies that the sequence $\{\mu_k\}$ has an accumulation point, which is a contradiction because Γ is a uniformly discrete set. Thus, Λ_S is uniformly discrete. ∎

3 Existence of quasicrystals

The main objective of this section is to prove Theorem 3 stated in the Introduction. For those groups, we describe the structure and construct essentially all possible lattices H that produce a complete CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$.

3.1 Initial comments

Recall that we are working with an LCA group G, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is a countable discrete group. The main obstacles in trying to construct a CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ are hidden in the structure of the discrete group $\mathbb{D}$. Indeed, as we will see in the next subsection, if G is connected then it is not difficult to explicitly construct a complete CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$. In particular, this will prove next result.

Theorem 26. *If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G_0 = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell$, then there exists a complete CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G_0, H_0)$.*

Suppose now that $\mathbb{D}$ is not trivial and that $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ is an CP-scheme. If $H_j = p_j(H)$ for $j = 1, 2$, then $\phi : H_2 \to H_1$ defined by

$$\phi(p_2(h)) = p_1(h)$$

is a group homomorphism. Therefore, the possible existence of elements with finite order in $\mathbb{D}$ imposes a restriction. To see this, assume that we have a lattice H in $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$ where $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{D}$. Given $\sigma \in \mathbb{D}$, if we call $H_\sigma = \{(x, y, r) \in H : r = \sigma\}$, then H_0 is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$ and $H_\sigma = H_0 + h_\sigma$ with $h_\sigma \in H_\sigma$. So H_σ is a translation of the lattice H_0 along the element h_σ.

The strategy to construct an CP-scheme is to start with a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with the required properties, and pick the right translations h_σ for each of the elements in $\mathbb{D}$. Now if σ has finite order, say s, in $\mathbb{D}$, h_σ has to have a special form. For, note that if $h_\sigma \in H_\sigma$, then $s.h_\sigma \in H_0$, that is $s.h_\sigma = (x, y, 0) \in H_0$, which implies that $h_\sigma = (x/s, y/s, \sigma)$. In other words, the translation h_σ corresponding to an element of order s, has its first two components in the lattice $\frac{1}{s}\tilde{H}_0$ where $\tilde{H}_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d : (x, y, 0) \in H\}$.

This special structure produced by the finite order elements of $\mathbb{D}$ on the translations, imposes restrictions on the existence of CP-schemes. Let us show this with a toy example.

Example 27. *Assume that $G = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, and take two real numbers α, β such that 1, α, and β are rationally independent. We first choose in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ the lattice*

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \big\{\big(n + \alpha m, n + \beta m\big) : \ n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\big\}.$$

Here, the special choice of α and β to be rationally independent is to obtain the density of the projections, i.e. properties C1, and C4. Now we consider the translations and construct the CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}, G, H)$, where

$$H = \big\{\big((n + r/2) + \alpha m, (n + r/2) + \beta m, r\big) : \ n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } r \in \{0, 1\}\big\}.$$

Analogously we can construct a lattice H in $\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2)$ in such a way that $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2, H)$ is an CP-scheme. Indeed, consider the lattice H given by

$$H = \big\{\big((n + r/2) + \alpha(m + s/2), (n + r/2) + \beta(m + s/2), r, s\big) : n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \ r, s \in \{0, 1\}\big\}.$$

The problem appears when we try to deal with a third copy of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. In that case, we have three $\mathbb{Z}-$independent elements of order two in $\mathbb{D}$, while we only have two copies of $\mathbb{R}$. This will be incompatible with the injectivity of the projection p_1, as it will be shown in the proof of Proposition 32.

The study of this simple example leads us to the characterization of those $\mathbb{D}$ such that, given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{D}, H)$, that is Theorem 3. The proofs of Theorems 26 and 3 are quite technical we leave them for the next subsections.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 26

In order to prove Theorem 26 we need a lemma and some auxiliary definitions. Given $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\vec{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define the matrix

$$T_{\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0_{m\times m} & \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}^T \\ \vec{\beta}\cdot\vec{\alpha}^T & 0_{d\times d} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

where the vectors in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbb{R}^d$ are considered as column vectors, hence

$$\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}^T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1\beta_1 & \dots & \alpha_1\beta_d \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_m\beta_1 & \dots & \alpha_m\beta_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using that $\|\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}^T\|_{sp} = \|\vec{\alpha}\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\cdot\|\vec{\beta}\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ we have:

Lemma 28. *If the vectors $\vec{\alpha}$ and $\vec{\beta}$ satisfy that*

$$\|\vec{\alpha}\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\cdot\|\vec{\beta}\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} < 1,$$

then the matrix $(I + T_{\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}})$ is invertible.

Proof. Since $T_{\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}}$ is symmetric, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\alpha,\beta}\|^2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0_{m\times m} & \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}^T \\ \vec{\beta}\cdot\vec{\alpha}^T & 0_{d\times d} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0_{m\times m} & \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}^T \\ \vec{\beta}\cdot\vec{\alpha}^T & 0_{d\times d} \end{pmatrix}^2 \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \|\beta\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\alpha}^T) & 0 \\ 0 & \|\alpha\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m}(\vec{\beta}\cdot\vec{\beta}^T) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq \|\vec{\alpha}\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m}\cdot\|\vec{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^d} \\ &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $I + T_{\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}}$ is invertible. ∎

Now, we choose $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\vec{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\vec{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ so that for any choice of signs in the powers, the $(m + d + \ell)$ real numbers

$$1, \alpha_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \alpha_m^{\pm 1}, \beta_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \beta_d^{\pm 1}, \gamma_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \gamma_\ell^{\pm 1}, \tag{6}$$

are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent, and

$$\|\vec{\alpha}\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\|\vec{\beta}\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} < 1. \tag{7}$$

For instance, if $\{p_n\}$ denote the sequence of prime numbers, we can take

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\alpha} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{s+1}}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{s+m}}}\right)\\ \vec{\beta} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{k+1}}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{k+d}}}\right)\\ \vec{\gamma} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{h+1}}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{h+\ell}}}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $s+m \leq k < k+d \leq h$, and s big enough so that $\vec{\alpha}$ and $\vec{\beta}$ satisfy condition (7). The linear independence over $\mathbb{Q}$ of the sequence $\{\sqrt{p_n}\}$ is due to Besicovitch (see [4]). On the other hand, since $\sqrt{p_n} = p_n/\sqrt{p_n}$, clearly $\vec{\alpha}$, $\vec{\beta}$ and $\vec{\gamma}$ also satisfy (6). Set

$$\vec{\omega}_\gamma = (e^{2\pi i \alpha_1 \gamma_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \alpha_1 \gamma_\ell}),$$

and for $a \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote $\vec{\omega}_\gamma^{\,a} = (e^{2\pi i \alpha_1 \gamma_1 a}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \alpha_1 \gamma_\ell a})$. Now we are ready to prove Theorem 26.

of Theorem 26. We start defining the set H_0 as

$$\left\{\left((I + T_{\alpha,\beta})\begin{pmatrix}\vec{n}\\ \vec{k}\end{pmatrix}, \vec{\omega}_\gamma^{\,n_1}\right) : \vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m\,,\ \vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d\right\} \tag{9}$$

We will prove that H_0 is a lattice of $\mathbb{R}^m \times G_0$ such that $(\mathbb{R}^m, G_0, H_0)$ is a complete CP-scheme. By definition, clearly H_0 is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$. On the other hand, the quotient $(\mathbb{R}^m \times G_0)/H_0$ can be identified with

$$(I + T_{\alpha,\beta})\big([0,1)^{m+d}\big) \times \mathbb{T}^\ell$$

where in $(I+T_{\alpha,\beta})\big([0,1)^{m+d}\big)$ we consider the standard addition in $\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$ modulus the lattice $(I + T_{\alpha,\beta})\mathbb{Z}^{m+d}$. So, the quotient $(\mathbb{R}^m \times G_0)/H_0$ can be identified with $\mathbb{T}^{m+d+\ell}$ which is compact. This concludes the proof that H_0 is a lattice. Now, we will prove that p_1 is one to one on H_0. Assume that

$$p_1\left((I + T_{\alpha,\beta})\begin{pmatrix}\vec{n}\\ \vec{k}\end{pmatrix}, \vec{\omega}_\gamma^{\,n_1}\right) = 0$$

for some $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, and $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ so that at least one of them is different from zero. Then we have that the system

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 + \alpha_1\beta_1 k_1 + \ldots + \alpha_1\beta_d k_d &= 0\\ \vdots \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad &= \vdots\\ n_m + \alpha_m\beta_1 k_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m\beta_d k_d &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

has a non trivial integer solution. Now multiplying both sides of the first equation by α_1^{-1}, both sides of the second equation by α_2^{-1} and so on, we reach a contradiction with condition (6). So, p_1 is one to one on H_0. In a similar way we can prove that p_2 is one to

one. Indeed, note that it is enough to prove that the first coordinate of p_2 is one to one, which is exactly the same computation as before.

In order to prove the density of $p_1(H_0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$, first note that $\mathbb{Z}^m \subset p_1(H_0)$. So, if $\pi_m : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$ is the canonical projection, it is enough to prove that $\pi_m \circ p_1$ is dense in the quotient. For, if $\vec{e}_1$ denotes the vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a one in the first coordinate, then when x runs over the real numbers the p_1 projection of

$$(I + T_{\alpha,\beta}) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} \\ x\vec{e}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

describes the line in the direction of the vector $\beta_1(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$. By condition (6), the numbers

$$\beta_1^{-1}\,,\ \alpha_1\,,\ \ldots\,,\ \alpha_m$$

are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, the numbers

$$1\,,\ \beta_1\alpha_1\,,\ \ldots\,,\ \beta_1\alpha_m$$

are also linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. So, the image of

$$\left\{ (I + T_{\alpha,\beta}) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} \\ n\vec{e}_1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

by $\pi_m \circ p_1$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$ by Kronecker's theorem. To prove the density of $p_2(H_0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^l$, we observe that $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \{1\} \subset p_2(H_0)$ where $\{1\}$ stands for the unity in $\mathbb{T}^l$. So, as before, it is enough to find a dense set in $(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell)/(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \{1\}) \simeq \mathbb{T}^{d+l}$. Let $\vec{e}_1$ now denotes the vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^m$ with a one in the first coordinate. Then when x runs over the real numbers p_2 projection of

$$(I + T_{\alpha,\beta}) \begin{pmatrix} x\vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

describes in the $\mathbb{R}^d$ component the line in the direction of the vector

$$\alpha_1(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d).$$

By condition (6), the numbers

$$\alpha_1^{-1}\,,\ \beta_1\,,\ \ldots\,,\ \beta_d\,,\ \gamma_1\,,\ \ldots\,,\ \gamma_\ell$$

are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, the numbers

$$1\,,\ \alpha_1\beta_1\,,\ \ldots\,,\ \alpha_1\beta_d\,,\ \alpha_1\gamma_1\,,\ \ldots\,,\ \alpha_1\gamma_\ell$$

are also linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. From now on, the computation is similar to the one done for p_1 using Kronecker's theorem. ■

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3

The proof of Theorem 3 is quite long. Therefore, we will divide it in several parts. Let us begin with the following technical lemma. It says basically that if we can construct an CP-scheme in $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$ with some properties, we can also construct a CP-scheme, with the same properties, when the discrete component of G is replaced by a subgroup of $\mathbb{D}$.

Lemma 29. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{D}$, and $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}$ a subgroup of $\mathbb{D}$. Suppose that H is a lattice of $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$, and define*

$$\widetilde{H} = \{(x, g) \in H : g \in \widetilde{G}\},$$

where $\widetilde{G} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}$. Then $\widetilde{H}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \widetilde{G}$. Moreover, if $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ satisfies any subset of the conditions (C2), (C3), and (C4), then $(\mathbb{R}^m, \widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H})$ also satisfies the same subset of conditions.

Proof. Clearly $\widetilde{H}$ is a discrete subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$. On the other hand, identifying

$$\big((\mathbb{R}^m \times G)/\widetilde{H}\big)/\widetilde{\pi}(H) \quad \text{with} \quad (\mathbb{R}^m \times G)/H,$$

we have the following commutative diagrams



In these diagrams π, $\widetilde{\pi}$, and π_0 denote the corresponding canonical projections. Note that, given $(\vec{x}, \tilde{g})$ and $(\vec{y}, \tilde{h})$ in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \widetilde{G}$, these elements are H-related if and only if they are $\widetilde{H}$-related. Thus, π_0 is a homeomorphism between $(\mathbb{R}^m \times \widetilde{G})/\widetilde{H}$ and $\pi(\mathbb{R}^m \times \widetilde{G})$. Note also that $\pi(\mathbb{R}^m \times \widetilde{G})$ is closed in the quotient, which is compact. So, $\pi(\mathbb{R}^m \times \widetilde{G})$ is compact, and the same holds for $(\mathbb{R}^m \times \widetilde{G})/\widetilde{H}$. This shows that $\widetilde{H}$ is a lattice of $\mathbb{R}^m \times \widetilde{G}$. Clearly, if p_1 and/or p_2 restricted to H is injective, the same happens with the corresponding restrictions to $\widetilde{H}$. On the other hand, since $\mathbb{D}$ is discrete, if $p_2(H)$ is dense, then for every $\sigma \in \mathbb{D}$

$$p_2(H) \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \{\sigma\})$$

is dense in the fiber $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \{\sigma\}$. Therefore, $p_2(\widetilde{\mathbb{D}})$ is also dense in $\widetilde{G}$. This completes the proof. ∎

A direct consequence of this lemma is the following result:

Corollary 30. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{D}$, and suppose that H is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$. For each $r \in \mathbb{D}$, let H_r be the subset of H whose elements have coordinate in $\mathbb{D}$ equal to r. Then:*

i.) H_0 *is a lattice;*

ii.) H_r *is a translation of* H_0.

Proof. By Lemma 29, H_0 is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \{0\}$. On the other hand, if $h_1, h_2 \in H_r$, then $h_1 - h_2 \in H_0$. ■

As a particular case of these results, we get the following characterization of the lattices in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^\ell$ which is probably known.

Lemma 31. *Given* $n, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$*, with at least one of them different from zero, then a lattice in* $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^\ell$ *has the form*

$$\left\{ \left(A\vec{k}, \{e^{2\pi i(\vec{\gamma}_j \cdot \vec{k})}\}_{j=1}^{\ell} \right) : \vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}$$

for some invertible $n \times n$ *real matrix* A *and vectors* $\vec{\gamma}_1, \dots, \vec{\gamma}_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Sketch of the proof. It is easier to describe the lattices in the dual space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Let E be one of these lattices, and given $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$, denote by $\Lambda_{\vec{k}} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m : (\lambda, \vec{k}) \in E\}$ and denote by $E_{\vec{k}} = \Lambda_{\vec{k}} \times \{\vec{k}\}$ the subset of E whose $\mathbb{Z}^\ell$-coordinate is precisely $\vec{k}$. By Corollary 30, $E_{\vec{0}}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$ and then $\Lambda_{\vec{0}}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$. So, there exists an invertible matrix B such that

$$\Lambda_{\vec{0}} = B\mathbb{Z}^n.$$

On the other hand, if $(\lambda_1, \vec{k}), (\lambda_2, \vec{k}) \in E_{\vec{k}}$, then $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_{\vec{0}}$. Taking representatives $\lambda_{\vec{k}} \in \Lambda_{\vec{k}}$ we have that $E_{\vec{k}} = (\lambda_{\vec{k}}, \vec{k}) + E_0$ and then we conclude that E has the following structure

$$E = \left\{ (B\vec{m} + \lambda_{\vec{k}}\,,\, \vec{k}) : \ \vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \ \ \vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell \right\}$$

Considering this structure of E and taking its dual we get the desired result. ■

Note that, in the lattice considered in Theorem 26, if $\vec{e}_1$ denotes the element of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that has one in the first coordinate and zero in the others, then

$$A = I + T_{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\gamma}_j = \alpha_1 \gamma_j \vec{e}_1.$$

The following proposition, combined with Lemma 29, proves one of the implications of Theorem 3.

Proposition 32. *Let* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and let* $G = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{m+d+1}$*. Then, it doesn't exist a lattice* H *in* $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$ *such that* $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ *is a complete CP-scheme.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a complete CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$. Let $\vec{u}_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{m+d+1}$ be the element that is one in the j-th coordinate and zero in the other ones, and define

$$H_j = \{(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{\omega}, \vec{r}) \in H : \vec{r} = \vec{u}_j\},$$

and

$$H_0 = \{(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{\omega}, \vec{r}) \in H : \vec{r} = \vec{0}\}.$$

By condition (C4), for every j it holds that $H_j \neq \varnothing$. So, take for every j

$$v_j := (\vec{x}_j, \vec{y}_j, \vec{\omega}_j, \vec{u}_j) \in H_j.$$

Then, for every j

$$pv_j = (p\vec{x}_j, p\vec{y}_j, \vec{\omega}_j^p, 0) \in H_0.$$

(we recall here the notation $\vec{\omega}_j^p = (\vec{\omega}_{j1}^p, \ldots \vec{\omega}_{j,\ell}^p) \in \mathbb{T}^\ell$). By Lemma 29, H_0 is a lattice of $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell$ that satisfies the conditions C_3 and C_4. On the other hand, by Lemma 31, H_0 has a special form which implies that the vectors

$$p\vec{x}_1, \ldots, p\vec{x}_{m+k+1}$$

span a rational subspace of $\mathbb{R}^m$ of (rational) dimension at most $m + d$. This implies that there exist integers n_j, with no common divisors, such that

$$n_1\vec{x}_1 + \ldots + n_{m+d+1}\vec{x}_{m+d+1} = 0.$$

Since the numbers n_j do not have a common factor, there have to be one of them which is not multiple of p. Then

$$v = n_1 v_1 + \ldots + n_{m+d+1} v_{m+d+1} \in H \setminus \{0\}$$

and $p_1(v) = 0$. This contradicts condition (C3), that is, the injectivity of $p_1|_H$. ■

In order to prove the converse in Theorem 3 we need and alternative way to say that a discrete group $\mathbb{D}$ does not have a copy of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{m+d+1}$. With this aim, we recall the definition of divisible groups. A (discrete) group $\mathbb{D}$ is called **divisible** is for every $\sigma \in \mathbb{D}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $b \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$nb = \sigma.$$

The easiest example of a divisible group is the group of rational numbers with the addition $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$. Another example of divisible groups are the so called quasi-cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$, also called Prüfer groups. Recall that, given a prime number p, then

$$\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) := \left\{ r \in [0,1) : r = \frac{k}{p^n};\, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\,,\ n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

endowed with the usual addition mod one. Although these are not all the divisible groups, they are the building blocks of any countable divisible group (see [9], pg. 104).

Theorem 33. *Any countable divisible group $\mathbb{D}$ is of the form*

$$\mathbb{D} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{k_q} \oplus \bigoplus_{p:\ prime} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{k_p},$$

where k_q and all the k_p are non-negative integers, which constitute a complete invariant of $\mathbb{D}$.

Our interest in divisible groups comes from the following universal property (see [9], pg. 106):

Theorem 34. *Any countable group can be embedded as a subgroup of a divisible group.*

Note that if a discrete group $\mathbb{D}$ has a copy of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, and $\mathbb{D}$ is embedded in a divisible group

$$\mathbb{D} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{k_q} \oplus \bigoplus_{p\text{: prime}} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{k_p}, \tag{10}$$

then the value of k_p has to be greater of equal than n. Note that the only components in (10) that have elements of order p are $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{k_p}$. Here we made the identification $\mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \{k/p : 0 \leq k < p\}$, with addition mod 1.

In Proposition 32, we have proved one of the implications of Theorem 3. The first step towards the proof of the other implication is the next one:

Theorem 35. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $G = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{D}$ where $\mathbb{D}$ is a divisible group of the form*

$$\mathbb{D} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{k_q} \oplus \bigoplus_{p\text{: prime}} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{m+d}.$$

Then, it is possible to construct a lattice H in $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$ such that the CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ is complete.

The proof of the converse is now a consequence of the following corollary:

Corollary 36. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\widetilde{G} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}$ where $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}$ is a subgroup of a divisible group $\mathbb{D}$ of the form*

$$\mathbb{D} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{k_q} \oplus \bigoplus_{p\text{: prime}} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{m+d}. \tag{11}$$

Then, there exists a complete CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, \widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H})$.

Proof. Let G be the group $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is as in (11). Consider the CP-scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, G, H)$ constructed in Theorem 35. By Lemma 29, if $\widetilde{H}$ is the lattice in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \widetilde{G}$ obtained by restricting H to $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}$, then the scheme $(\mathbb{R}^m, \widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H})$ satisfies conditions (C2), (C3), (C4). Since it clearly satisfies (C1) too, it turns out that $(\mathbb{R}^m, \widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H})$ is an CP-scheme. ∎

of Theorem 35. Consider the lattice

$$H_0 = \left\{ \left((I + T_{\alpha,\beta}) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{n} \\ \vec{k} \end{pmatrix}, \vec{\omega}_\gamma^{n_1} \right) : \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^m \,,\ \vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}$$

constructed in the proof of Theorem 26. Now, consider k_q real numbers η_j which are rationally independent with 1 and all the α-s, β-s, γ-s and their inverses. For instance, if we take $\vec{\alpha}$, $\vec{\beta}$, and $\vec{\gamma}$ as in (8), then we can consider

$$\eta_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{h+\ell+j}}}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, k_q.$$

Given $r \in \mathbb{D}$, we will write it as $(r_\mathbb{Q}, r_P)$, where $r_\mathbb{Q} \in \mathbb{Q}^{k_q}$, $r_Q = \{r_Q(j) : j = 1, \ldots, k_q\}$ and

$$r_P = \{r_p\} \in \bigoplus_{p\text{: prime}} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{m+d}.$$

For each prime p, $r_p \in \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{m+d}$, $r_p = \{r_p(1), \dots, r_p(m+d)\}$, with $r_p(j) = k_j/p^{s_j}$.

Using these conventions, given $r \in \mathbb{D}$ we define

$$\vec{u}(\vec{n}, r) = \left(\left(n_1 + \sum_{p\text{: prime}} r_p(1) \right), \dots, \left(n_m + \sum_{p\text{: prime}} r_p(m) \right) \right),$$

and

$$\vec{v}(\vec{k}, r) = \left(\left(k_1 + \sum_{p\text{: prime}} r_p(m+1) \right), \dots, \left(k_d + \sum_{p\text{: prime}} r_p(m+d) \right) \right).$$

Using these notations, we define H as the set consisting of the elements of the form

$$\left((I + T_{\alpha,\beta}) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}(\vec{n}, r) \\ \vec{v}(\vec{k}, r) \end{pmatrix} + \left(\sum_j r_{\mathbb{Q}}(j)\, \alpha_1\, \eta_j \right) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} \\ \vec{e}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{\omega}_\gamma^{\vec{u}(\vec{n},r)_1}, r \right)$$

where $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $r = (r_{\mathbb{Q}}, r_P) \in \mathbb{D}$, and $\vec{e}_1$ denotes the first element of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Basically, H consist of some particular translations of the lattice H_0 located in each fiber

$$\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \{r\}$$

for $r \in \mathbb{D}$. Straightforward computations show that H is a lattice. Since $(\mathbb{R}^m, (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell), H_0)$ is a complete CP-scheme, clearly $p_1(H)$ and $p_2(H)$ are dense. In order to prove that p_1 is one to one, we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 26. Suppose that

$$p_1 \left((I + T_{\alpha,\beta}) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}(\vec{n}, r) \\ \vec{v}(\vec{k}, r) \end{pmatrix} + \left(\sum_j r_{\mathbb{Q}}(j)\, \alpha_1\, \eta_j \right) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} \\ \vec{e}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{\omega}_\gamma^{\vec{u}(\vec{n},r)_1}, r \right) = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{u}(\vec{n}, r)_1 + \alpha_1\beta_1\vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_1 + \dots + \alpha_1\beta_d\vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_d &= -\sum_j r_{\mathbb{Q}}(j)\, \alpha_1\, \eta_j \\
\vec{u}(\vec{n}, r)_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1\vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_1 + \dots + \alpha_2\beta_d\vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_d &= 0 \\
\vdots \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad &= \vdots \\
\vec{u}(\vec{n}, r)_m + \alpha_m\beta_1\vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_1 + \dots + \alpha_m\beta_d\vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_d &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the hypothesis of rational independence over the numbers α-s, β-s, γ-s, and η-s implies, on the one hand that $r_{\mathbb{Q}}(j) = 0$ for every j. On the other hand, they also implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{u}(\vec{n}, r)_1 = \vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_1 = \dots = \vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_d &= 0 \\
\vdots \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad &= \vdots \\
\vec{u}(\vec{n}, r)_m = \vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_1 = \dots = \vec{v}(\vec{k}, r)_d &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly this implies that the components in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{T}^\ell$ are zero and one respectively. It only remains to prove that $r_P = 0$.

Assume by contradiction that $r_P \neq 0$. Let $r_{\rho_1}, \dots, r_{\rho_h}$ the non-zero components of r_P where $r_{\rho_t} \in \mathbb{Z}(\rho_t^\infty)^{m+d}$ for each $t = 1, \dots, h$ and the primes ρ_t are all different.

Then, there exist integers $k_{t,j}$ and positive integers $s_{t,j}$, with $t = 1, \dots, h$ and $j = 1, \dots, m+d$, such that

$$r_{\rho_t}(j) = \frac{k_{t,j}}{\rho_t^{s_{t,j}}}.$$

The integers $k_{t,j}$ can be choosen in the range $0 \leq k_{t,j} < \rho_t^{s_{t,j}}$.

Fix now $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, and set $k_t = k_{t,j}$ and $s_t = s_{t,j}$. Since $\vec{u}(\vec{n}, r)_j = 0$, it holds that

$$-n_j = \sum_{p\text{: prime}} r_p(j) = \sum_{t=1}^{h} r_{\rho_t}(j) = \sum_{t=1}^{h} \frac{k_t}{\rho_t^{s_t}}.$$

Then we have,

$$n_j \prod_{t=1}^{h} \rho_t^{s_t} + \sum_{t=1}^{h} k_t \prod_{r \neq t} \rho_r^{s_r} = 0. \tag{12}$$

Assume now that one of the k_t is different from zero, say $k_1 \neq 0$. Then rearranging terms in (12) we have:

$$-k_1 \prod_{r \neq 1} \rho_r^{s_r} = n_j \prod_{t=1}^{h} \rho_t^{s_t} + \sum_{t=2}^{h} k_t \prod_{r \neq t} \rho_r^{s_r} = \rho_1^{s_1} \left(n_j \prod_{t=2}^{h} \rho_t^{s_t} + \sum_{t=2}^{h} k_t \prod_{r \neq 1, t} \rho_r^{s_r} \right).$$

So $\rho_1^{s_1}$ is a factor of k_1 which is a contradiction since $0 \leq k_1 < \rho_1^{s_1}$ and was assumed not to be zero. Consequently, $r_{\rho_t} = 0$ for all t. This is true for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. A similar argument shows that the same holds for $j \in \{m+1, \dots m+d\}$. We conclude that $r_P = 0$ and then the projection p_1 is one to one on H.

Finally, if

$$p_2 \left((I + T_{\alpha,\beta}) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}(\vec{n}, r) \\ \vec{v}(\vec{k}, r) \end{pmatrix} + \left(\sum_j r_{\mathbb{Q}}(j)\, \alpha_1\, \eta_j \right) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} \\ \vec{e}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{\omega}_\gamma^{\vec{u}(\vec{n},r)_1}, r \right) = 0.$$

then $r = 0$. So the element of the lattice belongs to H_0. But there, p_2 is one to one. This concludes the proof. ■

4 Universal stable sampling and interpolation

This section is devoted to the construction of universal sets of stable sampling and interpolation in LCA groups, which we assume isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^\ell \times \mathbb{D}$.

Suppose for a moment that $\ell = 0$. Since we already know how to construct universal sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$, a natural idea to construct such sets in $G = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{D}$ could be to choose a universal set in each component. The problem is how to combine them in order to get a universal

set of stable sampling (resp. interpolation) in G. For instance, consider a universal set of stable sampling Λ_0 for $\mathbb{R}^d$ and then take in each connected component of G a copy of Λ_0. The resulting set will be a set of stable sampling for all PW_Ω such that the measures of almost all sections

$$\Omega_{t_0} = \{(x,t) \in \Omega : t = t_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{D}}\}$$

are bounded by the density of Λ_0. As the measure of these sections can be much bigger than the measure of Ω, this approach fails. Hence, in order to get universal sets of stable sampling or stable interpolation we need to combine universal sets in each components in a more delicate way. We are able to solve the problem using quasicrystals. The main weak point of this approach, is that quasicrystals do not always exist. However, we already know that there are many groups where we can find quasicrystals. In these groups, we show that quasicrystals satisfy universality properties. We essentially follow the ideas in [20] in order to construct universal stable sampling and stable interpolation sets, adapted to the group setting. The main difference in our context is the proof of Theorem 4. Once this theorem is established, the duality theorem (Theorem 5) can be proved in the same way as in the case of $\mathbb{R}^d$. So, we will give the complete proof of Theorem 4, and refer the interested reader to [20] for the details of the proof of Theorem 5. The end of the section is devoted to the Beurling type theorem for simple quasicrystals. From this we will deduce the existence of universal sets of stable sampling and stable interpolation in the groups that admit simple quasicrystals.

4.1 Poisson measures associated to quasicrystals

The main goal of this section is to provide the proof of Theorem 4. Before proceeding to the proof, let us make some comments.

Density of M_K

First of all, note that using Theorem 4 and Proposition 20 we directly get the following result.

Corollary 37. *Let H be a lattice on $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$ such that $p_1|_H$ and $p_2|_H$ are one to one, and $p_1(H)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^m$. If J and K Riemann integrable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and G respectively, then*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^m} \sum_{h\in H} \chi_J\left(\frac{p_1(h)-a}{r}\right)\chi_K(p_2(h)) = \frac{m_{\mathbb{R}^m}(J)\; m_G(K)}{|H|}$$

uniformly in $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

As a consequence of this corollary we obtain the following result on the Beurling density of M_K.

Corollary 38. *Given a Riemann integrable compact set $K \subseteq \widehat{G}$, it holds that*

$$\mathcal{D}(M_K) = \frac{\mu_{\widehat{G}}(K)}{|\Gamma|},$$

where Γ is the lattice related to M_K by the cut and project method.

Proof. Using Corollary 37 with $J = [0,1]^m$, q_1, q_2 instead of p_1, p_2, and Γ instead of H, we get that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^m} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \chi_J\left(\frac{q_1(\gamma)-a}{r}\right) \chi_K(q_2(\gamma)) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\cdot \mu_{\widehat{G}}(K).$$

Since the limit is uniform in a, this precisely says that $\mathcal{D}(M_K) = |\Gamma|^{-1}\mu_{\widehat{G}}(K)$. ∎

Theorem 4 and Poisson measures

Theorem 4 and Corollary 37 are related with Poisson measures. Let H be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^m \times G$ such that $p_1|_H$ y $p_2|_H$ are one to one and their images are dense in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and G respectively. Fix a function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ whose Fourier transform is supported in the Riemann integrable compact set $K \subset \widehat{G}$, and consider the atomic measure in $\mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

$$\nu_\psi = \sum_{h\in H} \psi(p_2(h))\delta_{p_1(h)}.$$

The distributional Fourier transform of ν is another atomic measure on $\mathbb{R}$, whose formula is

$$\widehat{\nu}_\psi = \frac{1}{|H|}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \widehat{\psi}(q_2(\gamma))\delta_{-q_1(\gamma)},$$

where Γ is the dual lattice of H, and q_j are the dual projections of p_j for $j = 1, 2$. Note that $\widehat{\nu}$ is supported in the quasicrystal M_K. By Theorem 4, both ν and $\widehat{\nu}$ are translation bounded measures, i.e.

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^m} \nu_\psi([x, x+1]^m) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\nu}_\psi([x, x+1]^m) < \infty,$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\nu_\psi) := \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r}\nu_\psi([-r, r]) = \frac{1}{|H|}\int_G \psi(g)\, dm_G.$$

Therefore, they are Poisson measures in the sense of [24]. In particular, both of them are almost periodic measures. Atomic measures whose (distributional) Fourier transform is also an atomic measure have been widely studied in connection with the so called Poisson type formulae (see [6], [16], [15] and [19]).

Proof of Theorem 4

We will divide the proof of Theorem 4 in two lemmas. Recall that $\widehat{G}$ is a compactly generated group and let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \widehat{G}$ be a uniform lattice. Since $\widehat{G}$ is compactly generated we have that

$$\widehat{G} \simeq \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^\ell \times \mathbb{K}. \tag{13}$$

Consider the lattice

$$\Xi = \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^\ell \times \{e_{\mathbb{K}}\}, \tag{14}$$

where $e_{\mathbb{K}}$ denotes the identity of $\mathbb{K}$. Let $Q = [0,1)^d \times \{0\}^\ell \times \mathbb{K}$, and for $\tau \in \widehat{G}$ define

$$Q_\tau = Q + \tau. \tag{15}$$

Note that $\widehat{G} = \bigcup_{\xi\in\Xi} Q_\xi$. With these notations we have the following results.

Lemma 39. *Let $\widehat{G}$ be a compactly generated group. For any $\tau \in \widehat{G}$, the quasicrystal*

$$M_{Q_\tau} = \{q_1(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma,\, q_2(\gamma) \in Q_\tau\},$$

is uniformly discrete and the separation constants do not depend on τ.

Proof. Let $S \subseteq \widehat{G}$ be any compact set such that Q is contained in the interior of S. By Lemma 25, the quasicrystal $M_{(S-S)}$ is uniformly discrete, where as usual

$$S - S = \{s_1 - s_2 :\ s_1, s_2 \in S\}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - y| > \delta$ if $x, y \in M_{(S-S)} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Since, $e \in S - S$, note that $|x| > \delta$ for every non zero element of $M_{(S-S)}$.

Although it is not necessary, for the sake of clarity, let us firstly assume that $\tau \in q_2(\Gamma)$. So, $\tau = q_2(\gamma)$, for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Given two different elements $x_1, x_2 \in M_{Q_\tau}$, there exist γ_1, γ_2 such that $q_1(\gamma_i) = x_i$, $i = 1, 2$. So, if we define $\eta_i = \gamma_i - \gamma$ then $q_2(\eta_i) \in Q$. Therefore

$$|x_1 - x_2| = |q_1(\gamma_1) - q_1(\gamma_2)| = |q_1(\eta_1 - \eta_2)| \geq \delta,$$

because $q_2(\eta_1 - \eta_2) \in Q - Q \subseteq S - S$.

Now, suppose that $\tau \notin q_2(\Gamma)$, and take two different elements $x_1, x_2 \in M_{Q_\tau}$. Since $p_1|_H$ is one to one, by a simple duality argument, we can see that $q_2(\Gamma)$ is dense in $\widehat{G}$. So, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ "closed" enough to τ, such that $x_1, x_2 \in S + q_2(\gamma)$, Thus, a similar argument as before shows that $x_1 - x_2 = q_1(\eta)$ for some $\eta \in \Gamma$ satisfying that $q_2(\eta) \in S - S$. Hence, $x_1 - x_2 \in M_{(S-S)}$, and since it is non zero

$$|x_1 - x_2| \geq \delta,$$

which concludes the proof. ∎

Lemma 40. *Let $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\hat{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$. Then*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma \neq e} |\hat{\varphi}\big(r\, q_1(\gamma)\big)\hat{\psi}\big(q_2(\gamma)\big)| \xrightarrow[r\to\infty]{} 0, \tag{16}$$

where e denotes the identity of $\widehat{G}$.

Proof. Since $\widehat{G}$ is compactly generated, it can be represented by (13) and

$$\widehat{G} = \bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi} Q_\xi,$$

where Ξ is the lattice given by (14) and Q_ξ is given by (15). Define $A_\xi := \sup_{x \in Q_\xi} |\hat{\psi}(x)|$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma \neq e} |\hat{\varphi}\big(r\, q_1(\gamma)\big)\hat{\psi}\big(q_2(\gamma)\big)| &= \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} \sum_{q_2(\gamma) \in\, Q_\xi : \gamma \neq e} |\hat{\varphi}\big(r\, q_1(\gamma)\big)\hat{\psi}\big(q_2(\gamma)\big)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} A_\xi \sum_{q_2(\gamma) \in Q_\xi : \gamma \neq e} |\hat{\varphi}\big(r\, q_1(\gamma)\big)|.\end{aligned}$$

First, we will show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set $J \subset \Xi$ such that

$$\sum_{\xi \notin J} A_\xi < \varepsilon. \tag{17}$$

Indeed, since $\hat{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$ by the Definition 10 we have that there exists $C = C_{\hat{\psi}}$ such that

$$\|\hat{\psi}\chi_{\widehat{G}\setminus C^{(k)}}\|_\infty \leq B_r k^{-r}, \tag{18}$$

where $C^{(2)} = C + C$ and $C^{(k)} = C^{(k-1)} + C$ and B_r a constant depending on r. Without loss of generality, we consider

$$C = [-n, n]^d \times \{-m, \dots, m\}^\ell \times \mathbb{K}.$$

Let $D_k = C^{(k+1)} \setminus C^{(k)}$ and define $A_{D_k} := \sup_{x \in D_k} |\hat{\psi}(x)|$. Fix $N > 0$ to be chosen later on, and let $J_N \subset \Xi$ such that $C^{(N)} = \bigcup_{\xi \in J_N} Q_\xi$ (see Picture 1). Then,

$$\sum_{\xi \notin J_N} A_\xi \leq \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} A_{D_k} (2kn)^d (2km)^\ell \leq (2n)^d (2m)^\ell B_r \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} k^{-r+d+l},$$

where in the last inequality we have used (18). Choosing $r > d + \ell + 1$ and N big enough we have that $\sum_{\xi \notin J_N} A_\xi < \varepsilon$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$. So, we can take J equal to such J_N.



Figure 1: Scheme of $D_4 = C^{(5)} \setminus C^{(4)} = \bigcup_{\xi \in J_5 \setminus J_4} Q_\xi$

By Lemma 39, the quasicrystals M_{Q_ξ} are uniformly bounded by below by certain $\delta > 0$. Then, since $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \neq e:\, q_2(\gamma) \in Q_\xi} |\hat{\varphi}\big(r\, q_1(\gamma)\big)| \leq 2^m \|\hat{\varphi}\|_\infty + \sum_{x \in M_{Q_\xi}, \|x\|_\infty \geq \delta} \frac{1}{(1 + \|rx\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m})^m}\,.$$

Consequently, for r big enough we have that

$$\sum_{\xi \in \Xi \setminus J_N} A_\xi \sum_{\gamma:\, q_2(\gamma) \in Q_\xi} |\hat{\varphi}\big(r\, q_1(\gamma)\big)| < (2^m \|\hat{\varphi}\|_\infty + 1)\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, if $K = \bigcup_{\xi \in J_N} Q_\xi$, using that M_K is uniformly discrete (Lemma 25) and so the points of M_K are uniformly discrete by the identity element, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\xi \in J_N} A_\xi \sum_{\gamma \neq e:\, q_2(\gamma) \in Q_\xi} |\hat{\varphi}\big(r\, q_1(\gamma)\big)| &\leq \|\hat{\psi}\|_\infty \sum_{x \in M_K,\, x \neq 0} |\hat{\varphi}(rx)| \\ &\leq C' \|\hat{\psi}\|_\infty \sum_{x \in M_K,\, x \neq 0} \frac{1}{(1 + \|rx\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m})^m} \\ &= o(r^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

■

of Theorem 4. Using Poisson's summation formula for $x = e$ we get

$$\sum_{h \in H} \frac{1}{r^m} \varphi\left(\frac{p_1(h) - a}{r}\right) \psi(p_2(h)) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-2\pi i a \cdot q_1(\gamma)} \hat{\varphi}\big(r\, q_1(\gamma)\big) \hat{\psi}\big(q_2(\gamma)\big),$$

Then, as $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\hat{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$, by Lemma 40

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma,\, q_2(\gamma) \neq e} |\hat{\varphi}\big(r\, q_1(\gamma)\big) \hat{\psi}\big(q_2(\gamma)\big)| \xrightarrow[r \to \infty]{} 0. \tag{19}$$

Since $p_1(H)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^m$, the projection $q_2|_\Gamma$ is one to one. Therefore, $q_2(\gamma) = e$ if and only if $\gamma = (0, e) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \widehat{G}$. In consequence, only the term corresponding to $\gamma = (0, e)$ does not appear in (19), which is precisely $\hat{\varphi}(0)\, \hat{\psi}(e)$. ■

4.2 Beurling type theorem for simple quasicrystals

Let $(\mathbb{R}, G, H)$ be an complete CP-scheme and $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an interval. Consider the associated simple quasicrystal:

$$\Lambda_I := \{p_2(h) : h \in H,\, p_1(h) \in I\} \subseteq G. \tag{20}$$

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 6, we need an estimate of the Beurling density of Λ_I. The result is due to Meyer (see [23]). If $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, the reader is also referred to [22]. We provide here an alternative proof, based in the duality theorem and the extension of Landau's theorem to LCA groups. Recall that for a compact set $K \subseteq \widehat{G}$,

$$M_K := \{q_1(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma,\, q_2(\gamma) \in K\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \tag{21}$$

where Γ denotes the dual lattice of H.

Theorem 41. *Given an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_I) = \frac{|I|}{|H|}.$$

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, consider a Riemann integrable compact set $C \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\mu_{\widehat{G}}(C) = \frac{|I| + \varepsilon}{|H|}.$$

Taking into account Corollary 38 we have that $\mathcal{D}(M_C) = |I| + \varepsilon$. Then, since $M_C \subset \mathbb{R}$, we use Beurling Theorem 1, and so we get that M_C is a stable sampling set for $PW_{\widetilde{I}}$, where $\widetilde{I}$ is a slight dilation of I so that $|I| + \varepsilon > |\widetilde{I}|$. By the duality theorem, this implies that $\Lambda_{\widetilde{I}}$ is an stable interpolation set for PW_C. So, by the extension to LCA groups of Theorem 24, we get that

$$\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda_I) \leq \mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda_{\widetilde{I}}) \leq \mu_{\widehat{G}}(C) = \frac{(|I| + \varepsilon)}{|H|}.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get that $\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda_I) \leq \dfrac{|I|}{|H|}$. In a similar way, using the other part of the duality theorem, we can prove that $\mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda_I) \geq \dfrac{|I|}{|H|}$, which completes the proof. ■

Combining the result in Theorem 41 with the duality theorem, we directly obtain Theorem 6 an extension of Beurling's theorem for simple quasicrystals,

of Theorem 6. Assume that $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_I) > \mu_{\widehat{G}}(K)$. Then by Theorem 41,

$$|I| > \frac{\mu_{\widehat{G}}(K)}{|H|^{-1}} = \frac{\mu_{\widehat{G}}(K)}{\Gamma} = \mathcal{D}(M_K).$$

Now we use Beurling Lemma in $\mathbb{R}$ (Theorem 1) to conclude that $M_K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a set of stable interpolation for PW_I. Therefore by the duality theorem Λ_I is a set of stable sampling for PW_K. The second claim of the theorem can be proved analogously. ■

Remark 42. *In [11] Gröchenig, Kutyniok, and Seip raised the question on the existence of stable sampling sets and stable interpolation set for PW_Ω, whose densities were arbitrarily close to the critical density given by (the generalized) Landau's theorem. Although this question was completely solved in [1] using another approach, it is worth to mention that Theorem 6 gives an alternative proof of the existence of these sets, providing the group G admits a quasicrystal.*

Acknowledgments We would like to thank Yves Meyer for suggesting us to study the relevant problem of the existence of quasicrystals in LCA groups, that generated the results in Section 3.

References

[1] Agora, E., Antezana, J., Cabrelli, C.: Multi-tiling sets, Riesz bases, and sampling near the critical density in LCA groups, Adv. Math. **285** (2015) 454-477.

[2] Allouche, J.P., Meyer, Y.: Quasicrystals, model sets, and automatic sequences, Compt. Rend. Phys. Volume **15**, Issue 1 (2014) 6-11.

[3] Bruhat, F.: Distributions sur un groupe localement compact et applications à l'étude des représentations des groupes $\wp$-adiques, (French) Bull. Soc. Math. France **89** (1961) 43-75.

[4] Besicovitch, A.: On the linear independence of fractional powers of integers, J. London Math. Soc. **15**, (1940) 3-6.

[5] Beurling, A.: Collected Works of Arne Beurling, (2 vol.) edited by L. Carleson et al., Birkhauser, Boston, MA (1989) 341-365.

[6] Córdoba, A.: Dirac combs, Letters in Mathematical Physics **17** (1989) 191-196.

[7] Deitmar, A., Echterhoff, S.: Principles of harmonic analysis, Universitext, Springer, New York (2009) xvi+333 pp.

[8] Feldman, J., Greenleaf, F.P.: Existence of Borel transversal in groups. Pacific J. Math. **25** (1968), no. 3, 455-461.

[9] Fuchs, L.: Infinite abelian groups, Vol. I, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 36, New York: Academic Press (1970) 290 pp.

[10] Grepstad, S., Lev, N.: Multi-tiling and Riesz bases, Adv. Math. **252** (2014), 1-6.

[11] Gröchenig, K., Kutyniok, G., Seip, K.: Landau's necessary density conditions for locally compact abelian groups, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 255, Issue 7, **1** (2008) 1831-1850.

[12] Hewitt, E., Ross, K.A.: Abstract Harmonic Analysis, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, New York (1963).

[13] Hewitt, E., Ross, K.A.: Abstract Harmonic Analysis, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, New York (1970).

[14] Kaniuth, E., Kutyniok, G.: Zeros or the Zak transform on locally compact abelian groups, Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998) no.12, 3561-3569.

[15] Kolountzakis, M.: Fourier pairs of discrete support with little structure, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **22** (2016) 1, 1-5.

[16] Lagarias, J. C.: Meyer's concept of regular model and quasiregular sets, Comm. Math. Phys. **179** (1996) 365-376.

[17] Lagarias, J. C.: Mathematical quasicrystals and the problem of diffraction. Directions in mathematical quasicrystals, CRM Monogr. Ser. **13**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2000) 61-93.

[18] Landau, H. J.: Necessary density conditions for sampling and interpolation of certain entire functions, Acta Math. **117** (1967) 37-52.

[19] Lev, N., Olevskii, A.: Quasicrystals and Poisson's summation formula, Invent. Math. **200** (2015), 585-606.

[20] Matei, B., Meyer, Y.: Simple quasicrystals are sets of stable sampling, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **55** (2010) 947-964.

[21] Matei, B., Meyer, Y.: Quasicrystals are sets of stable sampling, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **346** (2008) 1235-1238.

[22] Meyer, Y.: Algebraic Numbers and Harmonic Analysis, (1970) North Holland.

[23] Meyer, Y.: Adèles et séries trigonométriques spéciales Ann. Math. Second Series, Vol. 97, No. 1 (Jan., 1973) pp. 171-186.

[24] Meyer, Y.: Quasicrystals, Almost Periodic Patterns, Mean-periodic Functions and Irregular Sampling, Afr. Diaspora J. Math. (N.S.), Vol. 13, No 1 (2012) 1-45.

[25] Moody, R. V.: Model sets: A Survey. From Quasicrystals to More Complex Systems, eds. F. Axel, F. Dénoyer, J.P. Gazeau, Centre de physique Les Houches, Springer Verlag (2000).

[26] Olevskii, A., Ulanovskii, A.: A universal sampling of band-limited signals, C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006) 927-931.

[27] Olevskii, A., Ulanovskii, A.: Universal sampling and interpolation of band-limited signals, Geom. Funct. Anal. **18** (2008) 1029-1052.

[28] Osborne, M.S.: On the Schwartz-Bruhat space and the Paley-Wiener theorem for locally compact abelian groups, J. Funct. Anal. **19** (1975) 40-49.

[29] Rudin, W.: Fourier Analysis on Groups, John Wiley (1962).

[30] Schlottmann, M.: Generalized model sets and dynamical systems. Directions in mathematical quasicrystals, CRM Monogr. Ser., 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2000) 143-159.

[31] Shechtman, D., Blech, I., Gratias, D., Cahn, J.W.: Metallic phase with long-range orientational order and no translational symmetry. Phys. Rev. Lett. **53** (1984) 1951-1953.

[32] Weil, A.: Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires, Acta Math. **111** (1964) 143-211.

Elona Agora, Instituto Argentino de Matemática "Alberto P. Calderón" (IAM-CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina
elona.agora@gmail.com

Jorge Antezana, Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and, Instituto Argentino de Matemática "Alberto P. Calderón" (IAM-CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina
antezana@mate.unlp.edu.ar

Carlos Cabrelli, Departamento de Matemática, Universidad de Buenos Aires and, Instituto de Matemática "Luis Santaló" (IMAS-CONICET-UBA), Buenos Aires, Argentina
cabrelli@dm.uba.ar

Basarab Matei, Institut Galilée and, Université Paris 13, Paris, France
matei@lipn.univ-paris13.fr